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## Part I: Motivation for precision calculations

- Experiments in high-energy physics
- Theory: Perturbation theory
- Precision and higher order corrections


## Experiments in high-energy physics

Principle: Accelerate two particles, bring them into collision and observe the outcome.

Large Electron Positron Collider (LEP), CERN, Electron-positron collisions, Energy: $91-210 \mathrm{GeV}$.

Tevatron, Fermilab, Chicago, Proton-antiproton collisions, Energy: 1.96 TeV .

Large hadron collider (LHC), CERN, Proton-proton collisions,
 Energy: 14 TeV.

## Experiments in high-energy physics



A schematic view of electron-positron annihilation.

A four-jet event from the Aleph experiment at LEP:

Jets: A bunch of particles moving in the same direction


## Perturbation theory

Due to the smallness of all coupling constants $g$, we may compute an observable at high energies reliable in perturbation theory,

$$
\sigma=\left(\frac{g}{4 \pi}\right)^{4} \sigma_{L O}+\left(\frac{g}{4 \pi}\right)^{6} \sigma_{N L O}+\left(\frac{g}{4 \pi}\right)^{8} \sigma_{N N L O}+\ldots
$$

Cross section related to the square of the scattering amplitude: $\sigma \sim|\mathcal{A}|^{2}$. At each order, the amplitude is given as a sum of Feynman diagrams.

A Feynman diagram for electron-positron annihilation:


## Higher orders in perturbation theory

Higher order contribution to the cross-section $\sigma \sim|\mathcal{A}|^{2}$ :
Real emission:


Virtual corrections:


Loop diagrams occur in the virtual corrections !

## The need for precision

Hunting for the Higgs and other yet-to-be-discovered particles requires a better knowledge of the theoretical cross section.

Theoretical predictions are calculated as a power expansion in the coupling. Higher precision is reached by including the next higher term in the perturbative expansion.

State of the art:

- Third or fourth order calculations for a few selected quantities ( $R$-ratio, QCD $\beta$ function, anomalous magnetic moment of the muon).
- Fully differential NNLO calculations for a few selected $2 \rightarrow 2$ and $2 \rightarrow 3$ processes.
- Automated NLO calculations for $2 \rightarrow n(n=4 . .6,7)$ processes.


## Part II: Standard techniques for Feynman integrals

- Divergences and dimensional regularisation
- The cooking recipe for scalar integrals
- Reduction to scalar integrals


## Quantum loop corrections

Loop diagrams are divergent!


$$
\int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{\left(k^{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{1}{(4 \pi)^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d k^{2} \frac{1}{k^{2}}=\frac{1}{(4 \pi)^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{x}
$$

This integral diverges at

- $k^{2} \rightarrow \infty$ (UV-divergence) and at
- $k^{2} \rightarrow 0$ (IR-divergence).

Use dimensional regularization to regulate UV- and IR-divergences.

## Feynman rules

A one-loop Feynman diagram contributing to the process $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow q g \bar{q}$ :

with $p_{12}=p_{1}+p_{2}, p_{123}=p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}, k_{2}=k_{1}-p_{12}, k_{3}=k_{2}-p_{3}$.
Further $\&\left(p_{2}\right)=\gamma_{\tau} \varepsilon^{\tau}\left(p_{2}\right)$, where $\varepsilon^{\tau}\left(p_{2}\right)$ is the polarization vector of the outgoing gluon. All external momenta are assumed to be massless: $p_{i}^{2}=0$ for $i=1 . .5$.

The loop integral to be calculated reads:

$$
\int \frac{d^{D} k_{1}}{(2 \pi)^{D}} \frac{k_{1}^{\rho} k_{3}^{\sigma}}{k_{1}^{2} k_{2}^{2} k_{3}^{2}}
$$

Associated scalar loop integral:

$$
\int \frac{d^{D} k_{1}}{(2 \pi)^{D}} \frac{1}{k_{1}^{2} k_{2}^{2} k_{3}^{2}}
$$

## Standard techniques: Feynman and Schwinger parametrization

Feynman:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{A_{i}^{v_{i}}}= \\
& \quad \frac{\Gamma\left(v_{1}+\ldots+v_{n}\right)}{\Gamma\left(v_{1}\right) \ldots \Gamma\left(v_{n}\right)} \int d^{n} x \delta\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right) x_{1}^{v_{1}-1} \ldots x_{n}^{v_{n}-1}\left(x_{1} A_{1}+\ldots+x_{n} A_{n}\right)^{-v_{1}-\ldots-v_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Schwinger:

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{A_{i}^{v_{i}}}=\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(v_{1}\right) \ldots \Gamma\left(v_{n}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} d^{n} x x_{1}^{v_{1}-1} \ldots x_{n}^{v_{n}-1} \exp \left(-x_{1} A_{1}-\ldots-x_{n} A_{n}\right)
$$

## The cooking recipe for scalar integrals

Feynman parameterization.
Shift the loop momentum, such that the denominator becomes purely quadratic in $k$.
Wick rotation to Euclidean space.
Use spherical coordinates in $D$ dimensions.
The angular integration is trivial, the radial integration can be done with Euler's beta function.

This leaves the integrals over the Feynman parameters to be done.

## Standard techniques: Tensor integrals

Lorentz symmetry:

$$
\int \frac{d^{D} k}{\pi^{D / 2} i} k^{\mu} k^{\nu} f\left(k^{2}\right)=\frac{g^{\mu \nu}}{D} \int \frac{d^{D} k}{\pi^{D / 2} i} k^{2} f\left(k^{2}\right)
$$

From master formula: a factor $k^{2}$ in the numerator shifts the dimension $D \rightarrow D+2$.
Shifting the loop momentum like in $k^{\prime}=k-x_{1} p_{1}-x_{2} p_{2}$ introduces the parameters $x_{1}$ or $x_{2}$ in the numerator. A Schwinger parameter $x$ in the numerator is equivalent to raising the power of the original propagator by one unit: $v \rightarrow v+1$.

Summary: Tensor integrals in $D$ dimensions with unit powers of the propagators are equivalent to scalar integrals in $D+2, D+4, \ldots$ dimensions and higher powers of the propagators (Tarasov '96).

## Standard techniques: Graph polynomials

The general $l$-loop integral with $n$ propagators:

$$
I_{G}=\frac{\Gamma\left(v_{1}\right) \ldots \Gamma\left(v_{n}\right)}{\Gamma(v-l D / 2)} \int \prod_{r=1}^{l} \frac{d^{D} k_{r}}{i \pi^{D}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left(-q_{j}^{2}+m_{j}^{2}\right)^{v_{j}}}
$$

The $q_{j}$ are linear combinations of the loop momenta $k_{r}$ and the external momenta.

$$
I_{G}=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} d x_{j} x_{j}^{\mathrm{v}_{j}-1}\right) \delta\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right) \frac{\mathcal{U}^{v-(l+1) D / 2}}{\mathcal{F}^{v-l D / 2}}, \quad \mathrm{v}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{v}_{j}
$$

The functions $\mathscr{U}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are graph polynomials, homogeneous of degree $l$ and $l+1$, respectively.

## Standard techniques: Graph polynomials

Cutting $l$ lines of a given connected $l$-loop graph such that it becomes a connected tree graph defines a monomial of degree $l . \mathcal{U}$ is given as the sum over all such monomials.

Cutting one more line leads to two disconnected trees. The corresponding monomials are of degree $l+1$. The function $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ is the sum over all such monomials times minus the square of the sum of the momenta flowing through the cut lines and

$$
\mathcal{F}(x)=\mathcal{F}_{0}(x)+\mathcal{U}(x) \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} m_{j}^{2} .
$$

Example: The massless two-loop non-planar vertex.

$$
\begin{array}{rll} 
& \mathcal{U}= & x_{15} x_{23}+x_{15} x_{46}+x_{23} x_{46} \\
p_{1}+v_{1} v_{2} \\
v_{5} v_{6} v_{6} \\
p_{2} v_{4} & \mathcal{F}= & \left(x_{1} x_{3} x_{4}+x_{5} x_{2} x_{6}+x_{1} x_{5} x_{2346}\right)\left(-p_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& & +\left(x_{6} x_{3} x_{5}+x_{4} x_{1} x_{2}+x_{4} x_{6} x_{1235}\right)\left(-p_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& & +\left(x_{2} x_{4} x_{5}+x_{3} x_{1} x_{6}+x_{2} x_{3} x_{1456}\right)\left(-p_{3}^{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

## Summary on loop integrals

$$
I_{G}=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} d x_{j} x_{j}^{v_{j}-1}\right) \delta\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right) \frac{\mathcal{U}^{v-(l+1) D / 2}}{\mathcal{F}^{v-l D / 2}}
$$

$\mathscr{U}$ is a homogeneous polynomial in the Feynman parameters of degree $l$, positive definite inside the integration region and positive semi-definite on the boundary.
$\mathcal{F}$ is a homogeneous polynomial in the Feynman parameters of degree $l+1$ and depends in addition on the masses $m_{i}^{2}$ and the momenta $\left(p_{i_{1}}+\ldots+p_{i_{r}}\right)^{2}$.
In the euclidean region it is also positive definite inside the integration region and positive semi-definite on the boundary.

Laurent expansion in $\varepsilon=(4-D) / 2$ :

$$
I_{G}=\sum_{j=-2 l}^{\infty} c_{j} \varepsilon^{j}
$$

## Part III: Resolution of singularities and periods

- Statement of the theorem
- Definition of a period
- Proof of the theorem: Sector decomposition
- Hironaka's polyhedra game


## Object of investigation

$$
J=\int_{x_{j} \geq 0} d^{n} x \delta\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{a_{i}+\varepsilon b_{i}}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{r}\left[P_{j}(x)\right]^{d_{j}+\varepsilon f_{j}} .
$$

The $a$ 's, $b$ 's, $d$ 's and $f$ 's are integers.
The $P$ 's are polynomials in the variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ with rational coefficients. The polynomials are required to be positive inside the integration region, but may vanish on the boundaries of the integration region.

The integral $J$ has a Laurent expansion

$$
J=\sum_{j=j_{0}}^{\infty} c_{j} \varepsilon^{j}
$$

Theorem: The coefficients $c_{j}$ of the Laurent expansion of the integral $J$ are periods.
(Bogner, S.W., '07)

## Definition of a period

A period is a complex number whose real and imaginary parts are values of absolutely convergent integrals of rational functions with rational coefficients, over domains in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ given by polynomial inequalities with rational coefficients.
(Kontsevich, Zagier)

Domains defined by polynomial inequalities with rational coefficients are called semialgebraic sets.

Example:

$$
\pi=\iint_{x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1} d x d y .
$$

The set of all periods is countable.

## Periods

Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a semi-algebraic set.
Let $f_{1}(x), g_{1}(x), f_{2}(x)$ and $g_{2}(x)$ be rational functions with rational coefficients.
If the integrals

$$
\begin{aligned}
J & =\int_{G} d^{n} x\left\{f_{1}(x) \ln g_{1}(x)+f_{2}(x) \ln g_{2}(x)\right\} \\
K & =\int_{G} d^{n} x f(x) \ln g_{1}(x) \ln g_{2}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

are absolutely convergent, then they are periods.

## Prior art: Igusa local zeta functions

Consider the following special case for a Feynman integral:

1. The graph has no external lines or all invariants $s_{T}$ are zero.
2. All internal masses $m_{j}$ are equal to 1 .
3. All propagators occur with power 1, i.e. $\mathrm{v}_{j}=1$ for all $j$.

In this case the Feynman parameter integral reduces to

$$
I_{G}=\int_{x_{j} \geq 0} d^{n} x \delta\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right) \mathcal{U}^{-D / 2}
$$

This integral is a lgusa local zeta function when viewed as a function of $D / 2$. The coefficients of the Laurent expansion are periods.

Belkale and Brosnan, '03

## The algorithm of sector decomposition

Back to the general case:

$$
J=\int_{x_{j} \geq 0} d^{n} x \delta\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{a_{i}+\varepsilon b_{i}}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{r}\left[P_{j}(x)\right]^{d_{j}+\varepsilon f_{j}} .
$$

Algorithm:
Step 0: Convert all polynomials to homogeneous polynomials.
Step 1: Decompose into $n$ primary sectors.
Step 2: Iterate sector decomposition until all polynomials are monomialised.
Step 3: Taylor expansion in the integration variables.
Step 4: Laurent expansion in $\varepsilon$.
Crucial: Termination of step 2.

Hepp; Roth and Denner; Binoth and Heinrich; Bogner and S.W.

## Step 0: Convert to homogeneous polynomials

Convert all polynomials to homogeneous polynomials:

$$
J=\int_{x_{j} \geq 0} d^{n} x \delta\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{a_{i}+\varepsilon b_{i}}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{r}\left[P_{j}(x)\right]^{d_{j}+\varepsilon f_{j}} .
$$

Due to the presence of the delta-function we have

$$
1=x_{1}+x_{2}+\ldots+x_{n}
$$

Can multiply each term in each polynomial $P_{j}$ by an appropriate power of $x_{1}+\ldots+x_{n}$.
After step 0 we can assume that all polynomials are homogeneous.

## Step 1: Generate primary sectors

Decompose the integral into $n$ primary sectors as in

$$
\int_{x_{j} \geq 0} d^{n} x=\sum_{l=1}^{n} \int_{x_{j} \geq 0} d^{n} x \prod_{i=1, i \neq l}^{n} \theta\left(x_{l} \geq x_{i}\right)
$$

In the $l$-th primary sector substitute $x_{j}=x_{l} x_{j}^{\prime}$ for $j \neq l$, integrate out the variable $x_{l}$ with the help of the delta-function.

After this step:

$$
\int_{0}^{1} d^{n} x \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{a_{i}+\varepsilon b_{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{r}\left[P_{j}(x)\right]^{d_{j}+\varepsilon f_{j}}
$$

- integral is now over the unit hypercube,
- the polynomials are positive semi-definite on the unit hypercube,
- zeros may only occur on coordinate subspaces,
- in general the polynomials $P_{j}$ are no longer homogeneous.


## Step 2: Iterate sector decomposition

Decompose the sectors iteratively into sub-sectors until each of the polynomials is monomialised, i.e. of the form

$$
P(x)=C x_{1}^{m_{1}} \ldots x_{n}^{m_{n}}\left(1+P^{\prime}(x)\right),
$$

One iteration: Choose a subset $S=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right\} \subseteq\{1, \ldots n\}$ according to a strategy. Decompose the $k$-dimensional hypercube into $k$ sub-sectors according to

$$
\int_{0}^{1} d^{n} x=\sum_{l=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{1} d^{n} x \prod_{i=1, i \neq l}^{k} \theta\left(x_{\alpha_{l}} \geq x_{\alpha_{i}}\right)
$$

In the $l$-th sub-sector make for each element of $S$ the substitution

$$
x_{\alpha_{i}}=x_{\alpha_{l}} x_{\alpha_{i}}^{\prime} \text { for } i \neq l
$$

Iterate until all polynomials are monomialised.

## Step 2: Blow-ups

Example: $S=\{1,2\}$.
One iteration blows up the center $x_{1}=x_{2}=0$.






## Step 2: Strategies

How to choose $S$ ? Have to avoid infinite recursion!
Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) & =x_{1} x_{3}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{2} x_{3} \\
S & =\{1,2\}
\end{aligned}
$$

First sub-sector: $x_{1}=x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}=x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime}, x_{3}=x_{3}^{\prime}$.

$$
P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=x_{1}^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime 2}+x_{1}^{\prime 2} x_{2}^{\prime 2}+x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime}=x_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}^{\prime 2}+x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime 2}+x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime}\right)=x_{1}^{\prime} P\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{3}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

The choice $S=\{1,2\}$ leads to an infinite recursion.

## Step 2: Formulation of the problem

We have a product of polynomials

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{r} P_{j}(x)
$$

and seek a sequence of blow-ups, such that after a finite number of steps each polynomial is monomialised.

## Reformulation:

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{r} P_{j}(x)=0
$$

defines an algebraic variety. We look for a resolution of the singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero by a sequence of blow-ups.

Hironaka, 1964

## Step 2: Hironaka's polyhedra game

Two players A and B are given a finite set $M$ of points $m=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}\right)$ in the first quadrant of $\mathbb{N}^{n}$. The positive convex hull of the set $M$ is denoted by $\Delta$.

1. Player A chooses a non-empty subset $S \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
2. Player B chooses one element $i$ out of this subset $S$.
3. All $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}\right) \in M$ are replaced by new points $\left(m_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, m_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
m_{i}^{\prime}=\sum_{j \in S} m_{j}-1 \text { and } m_{j}^{\prime}=m_{j}, \quad \text { if } j \neq i
$$

4. Set $M=M^{\prime}$ and go back to step 1 .

Player A wins the game if, after a finite number of moves, the polyhedron $\Delta$ is generated by one point, i.e. is of the form

$$
\Delta=m+\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n},
$$

## Step 2: Hironaka's polyhedra game

Example in two dimensions:


Player A wins the game!

Challenge: Find a winning strategy for player $A$.

## Step 2: Winning strategies for the polyhedra game

Common to all winnig strategies is the definition of an invariant and a choice of $S$ based on this invariant, such that any choice of player $B$ will make this invariant decrease.

- Spivakovsky's strategy: The first solution to the polyhedra game.

Spivakovsky 1983

- Zeillinger's strategy: The simplest one, but also the most inefficient one. Zeillinger 2006
- Encinas' and Hauser's strategy: Not restricted to principal ideals, with a lot of effort to make the proof understandable.
Encinas and Hauser, 2003

See also: villamayor, Bravo, Bierstone, Milman, .

## Step 3: Taylor expansion in the integration variables

We now have integrals of the form

$$
\int_{0}^{1} d^{n} x \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{a_{i}+\varepsilon b_{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{r}\left[1+P_{j}^{\prime}(x)\right]^{d_{j}+\varepsilon f_{j}}
$$

For every $x_{j}$ with $a_{j}<0$ perform a Taylor expansion around $x_{j}=0$ :

$$
\int_{0}^{1} d x_{j} x_{j}^{a_{j}+b_{j} \varepsilon} I\left(x_{j}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} d x_{j} x_{j}^{a_{j}+b_{j} \varepsilon}\left(\sum_{p=0}^{\left|a_{j}\right|-1} \frac{x_{j}^{p}}{p!} I^{(p)}+I^{(R)}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)
$$

- The integration in the pole part can be carried out analytically.
- The remainder term is by construction integrable.


## Step 3: Taylor expansion in the integration variables

At the end of step 3 we obtain a finite sum of integrals of the form

$$
K(\varepsilon)=\frac{1}{g(\varepsilon)} \int_{0}^{1} d^{n} x F(x, \varepsilon)
$$

with

$$
F(x, \varepsilon)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{j}(x, \varepsilon), \quad f_{j}(x, \varepsilon)=g_{j}(\varepsilon) \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{a_{i}^{j}+\varepsilon b_{i}} \prod_{k=1}^{r}\left[P_{k}^{j}(x)\right]^{d_{k}^{j}+\varepsilon f_{k}}
$$

Here, $g(\varepsilon)$ and $g_{j}(\varepsilon)$ are polynomials in $\varepsilon$ with integer coefficients. $P_{k}^{j}(x)$ is a polynomial with rational coefficients, non-vanishing on the unit hypercube. Further we have $a_{i}^{j}, b_{i}, d_{i}^{j}, f_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

## Step 3: Taylor expansion in the integration variables

$$
\int_{0}^{1} d^{n} x F(x, \varepsilon)
$$

is convergent by construction for all $\varepsilon$ in a neighbourhood of $\varepsilon=0$. In one variable this integral is of the form

$$
\int_{0}^{1} d x x^{\varepsilon b} R(x, \varepsilon)
$$

where the function $R(x, \varepsilon)$ does not contain any singularities on the integration domain and is therefore bounded. Therefore the integral is absolutely convergent for all $\varepsilon$ with $|\varepsilon|<|1 / b|$.

## Step 4: Laurent expansion in $\varepsilon$

It remains to expand the integrals in $\varepsilon$ : The expansion of the functions $1 / g(\varepsilon)$ and $g_{j}(\varepsilon)$ yields rational numbers, for the other terms we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{a+b \varepsilon} & =x^{a} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^{k}}{k!}(\ln x)^{k} \varepsilon^{k}, \\
{[P(x)]^{d+\varepsilon f} } & =[P(x)]^{d} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{k}}{k!}(\ln (P(x)))^{k} \varepsilon^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The integrals over $F_{r}(x)$ are absolutely convergent: In each variable we have integrals of the form

$$
\int_{0}^{1} d x(\ln x)^{k} R_{r}(x), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}
$$

where the function $R_{r}(x)$ does not contain any singularities on the integration domain and is therefore bounded.

## Step 4: Laurent expansion in $\varepsilon$

Summary on sector decomposition:

- Each coefficient of the Laurent expansion is given as a finite sum of integrals.
- These integrals are absolutely convergent.
- All integrals are over the unit hypercube. This is clearly a semi-algebraic set.
- The integrands contain only rational functions with rational coefficients and logarithms thereof.

The coefficients of the Laurent expansion are periods and the theorem is proven.

## Summary

- Precision calculations in particle physics
- Standard techniques for Feynman integrals
- A theorem on the Laurent expandion of Feynman integrals:
- Sector decomposition, blow-ups
- Hironaka's polyhedra game

All coefficients are periods !

- The proof is constructive and can be used to compute numerically all coefficients.

